## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

CYNTHIA HEWITT,

Plaintiff.

v.

CASEY'S GENERAL STORES INC. and CASEY'S RETAIL COMPANY,

Defendants.

No. 13-1161-DRH

## **MEMORANDUM and ORDER**

## **HERNDON**, Chief Judge:

This matter comes before the Court for case management. A review of the notice of removal and the amended complaint reveals that the parties' allegations regarding plaintiff's citizenship are inadequate. The notice of removal states: "As a resident of the State of Illinois, Plaintiff is a citizen of Illinois..." and the amended complaint alleges that she is resident of Madison County, Illinois. "[A] mere averment of residence in a particular state is not an averment of citizenship in that state for the purposes of [diversity] jurisdiction." *Steigleder v. McQuesten*, 198 U.S. 141, 143 (1905). "[R]esidence and citizenship are not synonyms and it is the latter that matters for purposes of the diversity jurisdiction." *Meyerson v. Harrah's E. Chicago Casino*, 299 F.3d 616, 617 (7th

Cir. 2002). See also Tylka v. Gerber Prods. Co., 211 F.3d 445, 448 (7th Cir. 2000) ("[A]llegations of residence are insufficient to establish diversity jurisdiction."); Held v. Held, 137 F.3d 998, 1000 (7th Cir. 1998) (same).

Thus, the Court **DIRECTS** defendants to brief the jurisdictional basis on or before December 15, 2013. The failure to sufficiently demonstrate that subject matter jurisdiction exists will result in the *sua sponte* remand of this case for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 15th day of November, 2013.

David R. Herndon 2013.11.15 10:08:53 -06'00'

Chief Judge United States District Court

Davidrahan